

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,818	02/28/2006	Gerhard Hubschen	785.45159X00	8657
20457	7590 01/02/2008 TERRY STOUT & VRA	EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			CHAPMAN JR, JOHN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AREH (OTO),	, 111 22207 3073		2856	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		TH				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/539,818	HUBSCHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John E. Chapman	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, ,	s action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-48</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21,23,25,27,29,33,35,37,39,41,44.</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,22,24,26,28,30-32,34,36,38,40,44.</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	awn from consideration.  3 and 46-48 is/are rejected.  2,44 and 45 is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2005</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the property documents have been receing the property (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/05.	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The drawings are objected to because the drawings do not admit of reproducibility. New drawings are required. In addition, in Fig. 1 the magnetic flux guide piece should be referenced by "3" instead of --2--. Fig. 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be a single paragraph. In line 3, "a, respectively from" should be deleted. In line 5, "generating, respectively" should be Application/Control Number: 10/539,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

--generating and/or--. In line 9, "core, which each" should be --core having--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

3. The specification is replete with numerous idiomatic and grammatical errors and should be carefully revised. Examples of such errors are:

Page 1, first paragraph, line 3, "a, respectively from" should be deleted.

Page 1, first paragraph, line 5, "generating, respectively" should be --generating and/or--.

Page 7, "Ways to Carry Out the Invention, Commercial Applicability" should be changed to --DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION--.

Page 8, line 13, "a type" should be deleted or changed to --type of a--.

Page 8, line 13, "in the further on herein" should be --hereafter-- or --below--.

Page 8, third paragraph, line 1, "upper side 32" should be --lower side 32--.

Page 9, third paragraph, line 2, "an as such" should be changed to --a--.

Page 10, line 6, "normals of" should be --normal--.

Page 12, second paragraph, line 2, "unipolar" is unclear. All magnets have two poles.

Page 12, third paragraph, line 2, "Contrary" should be --In contrast--.

Page 12, third paragraph, line 9, "unipolar" is unclear.

4. Claims 19-48 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 19, line 3, "a, respective from" should be deleted.

Claim 19, line 5, "generating, respectively" should be --generating or--.

Claim 20, line 3, "in, respective from" should be --in--.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,818

Art Unit: 2856

Claim 20, line 5, "generating, respectively" should be --generating or--.

Claim 26, line 2, "to" should be --two--.

Claim 31 should depend upon claim 20, since otherwise it is a duplicate of claim 30.

Claim 34, line 3, "at least one" should be deleted, since only one is recited in claim 19.

Claim 35, line 3, "the at least one" should be --at least one--, since at least two are recited in claim 20.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 20, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41, 43 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 20, last line, it is not clear what is meant by "an electromagnetic arrangement."

It is not clear that the magnetic flux guide piece 3 has a surface facing an electromagnetic arrangement. Furthermore, elements recited in the alternative should be equivalents, and it is not clear that "an electromagnetic arrangement" is equivalent to the workpiece.

Regarding claim 21, the claim terminology is inconsistent with the specification. No "longitudinal limb" is described in the specification. Claim 21 appears to be directed to the embodiment of Fig. 7, which is described in the specification as "m-shaped" and not "U-

Art Unit: 2856

shaped." See page 12, third paragraph, line 3. The claim terminology must be consistent with the specification.

Regarding claim 29, "the magnetic flux guide piece" is ambiguous, since at least two are recited in claim 20. Hence, it is not clear whether at least one guide piece or at least two guide pieces are being recited.

Regarding claim 46, it is not clear what it means for adjacent magnetic flux guide pieces to be "oriented in opposite directions." Since the guide pieces are recited in claim 42 as being aligned in parallel, it is not clear that claim 46 further limits claim 44. It is not clear how adjacent magnetic flux guide pieces in Fig. 3 are distinguished as being in the same or in opposite directions.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Paige et al. (7,024,935) discloses an electromagnetic acoustic transducer having a magnetizer 6 and a coil arrangement disposed on a ferromagnetic core 20, wherein the faces of the core 20 are connected directly to a wear plate 24 of electrically insulating material. Meier et al. (5,987,993) discloses an electromagnetic ultrasonic transducer having permanent magnets 6, 8 and a high-frequency coil assembly 10 disposed on a soft magnetic core 20.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John E. Chapman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2191. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron

Application/Control Number: 10/539,818 Page 6

Art Unit: 2856

Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John E Chapman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856